

Benotungstabelle für Abschlussarbeiten

Pro Kriterium können 0-2 Punkte vergeben werden

Name:

Note:

Gutachter:

Criteria	Weight	Score
Abstract (max. 250 words)		
1. Overall purpose of the study (introduction of the research question (RQ) and hypotheses)	0,5	
2. Brief description of the methods and concise description of the key findings	0,5	
3. Summary of interpretations and conclusions (answering RQ and take away message)	0,5	
Sum (abstract)		0
Introduction		
1. Intro to introduction (1-3 paragraph overview of main topic, relevance of research question)	1	
2. Research question (RQ) is derived and clearly identified; societal and scientific relevance of the RQ is adequately explained	1	
3. Hypotheses (stat. verifiable, falsifiability, formulated precisely)	1	
4. Relevant constructs are clearly defined	1	
5. Coherent presentation and adequate selection of the relevant literature (only literature that supports reasoning is reported)	1	
6. Overall: coherence of reasoning	1	
Sum (introduction)		0
Methods		
1. Sample: The sample is adequately described and sample size justified (e.g. power analysis where applicable); any exclusion of participants (or data points) is described and justified	1	
2. Procedure: The procedure is sufficiently described (incl. recruitment procedures, inclusion criteria, etc.)	1	
3. Measures: The studied variables are adequately described and their choice is coherently justified, reliability of scales is calculated and reported	1	
4. Data analysis: The analyses methods are clearly presented and justified	1	
5. Overall: The method is described in sufficient detail to allow replication of the study while still maintaining clarity	1	

Sum (methods)		0
Results		
1. The results are organized according to the questions/hypotheses	1	
2. Statistical analyses and values: All relevant results are presented completely and correctly (according to APA guidelines)	1	
3. The test statistics are adequately described and correctly interpreted (in relation to the hypotheses)	1	
4. Presentation of the findings (figures/tables/text): The presentation of results is coherent, clear, and precise	1	
5. Overall: General coherence - all necessary information is presented in a clear, descriptive way; unessential details are not reported (e.g., test statistics of non-relevant outcomes)	1	
Sum (results)		0
Discussion		
1. Summary of the results: The results are evaluated in relation to the research question; the relationship of the results to the hypotheses is clear	1	
2. Interpretation of results: All important strands of interpretation are addressed; appropriate explanations are offered for unexpected results.	1	
3. Theoretical embedding in prior literature: Results are evaluated in light of existing literature	1	
4. Critical reflection: Relevant strengths and limitations of the methodological approach are identified and adequately discussed	1	
5. Further questions and suggestions for future research are identified and adequately laid out	1	
6. Scientific and societal implications are discussed	0,5	
7. Appropriate conclusion (take away message)	0,5	
Sum (discussion)		0
Beyond content		
1. Correct use of APA style (citations in text & reference list, Figures and Tables)	1	
2. Clarity of writing (clear, understandable and concise language, <i>not</i> grammar/orthography)	1	
3. Clear distinction between empirical findings and reasoning (and appropriate use of citations accordingly)	1	
4. Completeness: All materials are fully and adequately documented (e.g., self-declaration, supplemental material, etc.)	1	

5. Overall structure (clear red thread, paragraph structure reflects reasoning and supports readability)	1
Sum (beyond content)	0
Sum (total)	0

Datum, Unterschrift

Benotung

Note	Mindestpunktzahl
1,0	54
1,3	51
1,7	48
2,0	45
2,3	42
2,7	39
3,0	36
3,3	33
3,7	30
4,0	28